$$
\begin{aligned}
& \cos \varrho=w_{d^{k}} \\
& \cos \varphi=v_{d^{4}} / \sin \varrho .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the $2 \theta, \varrho$ and $\varphi$ values for any value of $h k l$ can be obtained and used to set the crystal to enable any desired reflexion to be studied.

## 5. Conclusions

The procedure described here will lead to an unambiguous result but the cell determined by this procedure need not be the one usually employed. For instance a face-centred cubic Bravais lattice has a reciprocal counterpart in which the three shortest vectors define a rhombohedral cell of side equal to $V 3 a^{*}$ and $\alpha^{*}=110^{\circ}$. A body-centred cubic Bravais lattice would likewise be represented in reciprocal space by a rhombohedral cell the sides of which are $l 2 a^{*}$ in length and the angle $\alpha^{*}=60^{\circ}$. There are other possible instances where the unit cell chosen by this method would have a lower symmetry than that of the usually accepted cell or lack its orthogonality. The indices determined by the present procedure could be readily transformed to those usually accepted after the measurements of the intensity of reflexion had been made.

Buerger (1942) discusses the relation between the reduced reciprocal lattice, derived by the procedure described here, and the corresponding Bravais lattice. Usually the reduced reciprocal lattice leads to the
reduced Bravais lattice by the usual transformations. In a few cases, however, Buerger points out that other conditions must be applied to determine the true reduced Bravais lattice.

Another feature of this method which should be mentioned is the fact that the low-angle reflexions are very convenient for determining the approximate size and shape of the reciprocal unit cell while the high-angle reflexions are best for finding accurate values of these dimensions. It would be necessary to design the program of computation so that after finding the approximate values of $a^{*}, b^{*}, c^{*}, \alpha^{*}, \beta^{*}, \gamma^{*}$ these were automatically refined by taking into account the high-angle reflexions. The final values of the cell parameters would have to be based on the high-angle reflexions so that the calculated settings of the circles were correctly determined for these reflexions.
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# The Grystal Structure of Diammonium Dihydrogen Hypophosphate $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathbf{H}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ 
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The crystal structure of diammonium dihydrogen hypophosphate has been solved by trial and error and refined in three dimensions. The bond lengths are $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P} 2 \cdot 17, \mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{H}) 1 \cdot 57$, and $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ $1.50 \AA$ (twice). The hypophosphate ion has twofold symmetry and adopts a staggered configuration with symmetry approaching $\overline{3} m$.

## Introduction

Speculation on the structure of the hypophosphate ion [ $\left.\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right]^{4-}$ has a long history, chronicled by Van Wazer (1958). No complete structure determination of a hypophosphate has been reported, however.

## Crystal data

$\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$, M.W. 196•1, orthorhombic, $a=7 \cdot 240$, $b=11 \cdot 465, c=9.350 \AA$ (all $\pm 0.005$ ), observed density $1 \cdot 679$, four molecules per unit cell. Space group

Pccn ( $D_{2 h}^{10}$, No. 56), implied symmetry of the hypophosphate ion $\overline{1}$ or 2 .

Crystals of good quality with a typical dimension of $0 \cdot 15 \mathrm{~mm}$ were kindly supplied by D. R. Peck of this laboratory.

## Structure determination

The unit cell and space group were reported by Raistrick \& Hobbs (1949), who from the space group and density deduced that the hypophosphate ions existed in the symmetrical form. The resemblance
of the cell to that of ammonium bicarbonate was noted by Brooks \& Alcock (1950) whose structure of the bicarbonate was taken as a starting point. Adjustments of their parameters, made with Bragg-Lipson charts for a small number of reflexions, led to a structure which was satisfactorily refined on three projections by difference syntheses.

Structures in the space group Pccn cannot be unambiguously determined by three axial projections, since an atom at $x, y, z$ cannot be distinguished from one at, for example, $\frac{1}{2}-x, y, z$. Having fixed the position of the hypophosphate ion by refinement from the bicarbonate structure, we were thus faced with two possible sites for the ammonium ion. Com-

Table 1. Observed and calculated structure factors
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parison of observed $F_{h k 1}$ with those calculated for each possibility resolved the ambiguity, happily indicating the site which gave the more reasonable lengths for the hydrogen bonds.

The structure was subsequently refined in three dimensions by least squares on the Pegasus computer at Leeds University, using the SFLS program (Cruickshank, Pilling, Bujosa, Lovell \& Truter, 1961) on the 733 independent reflexions whose intensities were estimated visually from Weissenberg photographs. After five cycles the $R$ index was $11.7 \%$ and the largest shift in atomic coordinates about half a standard deviation. The refinement was stopped there although there was a shift in the thermal vibration parameters $U_{i j}$ of more than twice a standard deviation; the vibrations are thus less adequately refined than the coordinates. The hydrogen atoms were ignored.

Observed and calculated structure factors are shown in Table 1.

## Discussion of the structure

The atomic coordinates with their standard deviations are shown in Table 2, the thermal parameters $U_{i j}$ (which are related to the more conventional $B_{i j}$ by $B_{i j}=8 \pi^{2} U_{i j}$ ) in Table 3 and their standard deviations in Table 4.

Fig. $l(a)$ is a drawing of the hypophosphate ion; the interesting dimensions are given in Table 5. Fig. 1(b) shows the ion projected down the P-P bond; it adopts, as suggested by Palmer (1961) on the basis of infrared work, a staggered configuration with


Fig. 1. (a) A drawing of the $\left[\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}\right]^{4-}$ ion. (b) View of the ion looking down the P-P bond. In both the twofold axis is vertical.
symmetry approaching $\overline{3} m\left(\mathrm{D}_{3} a\right)$. The $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ bond lengths are much as expected: the hydrogen atom is presumably attached to $\mathrm{O}(1)$ and the lengths $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{H})$ and P-O are compared with others in Table 6. The P-P bond $(2 \cdot 17 \AA)$ seems to be the shortest yet reported: the usual value is $2 \cdot 22 \AA$ and the shortest previously published is $2 \cdot 18 \AA$ in polycrystalline black phosphorus (Hultgren, Gingrich \& Warren, 1935). The irregularity in the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ angles does not seem to be simply explicable.
The hypophosphate ions are linked together, rather like the rungs of a ladder, into columns parallel to the $c$ axis by the short hydrogen bonds $\mathrm{O}(1) \cdots \mathrm{O}(3)$ of length $2.53 \AA$. Three of the four expected $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ bonds can be identified unambiguously by their lengths $(2 \cdot 75,2 \cdot 84,2 \cdot 92 \AA)$ but the fourth cannot, the three next shortest contacts being $3 \cdot 14$, $3 \cdot 18$ and $3 \cdot 20 \AA$ in length. By examining the angles that all these contacts make at the nitrogen atom, and by comparing them with Fuller's (1959) data

Table 2. Atomic coordinates and standard deviations $(\AA)$

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $\sigma(x)$ | $\sigma(y)$ | $\sigma(z)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P | 0.7336 | 2.7280 | 1.5720 | 0.0016 | 0.0017 | 0.0014 |
| N | 1.9289 | 5.9521 | 3.8598 | 0.0051 | 0.0058 | 0.0058 |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 0.1783 | 3.5076 | 2.8194 | 0.0049 | 0.0045 | 0.0044 |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 0.4863 | 1.2488 | 1.6390 | 0.0055 | 0.0052 | 0.0048 |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 0.2078 | 3.4467 | 0.3616 | 0.0048 | 0.0046 | 0.0044 |

Table 3. Thermal parameters $U_{i j}$

|  |  | $U_{22}$ | $U_{33}$ | $U_{12}$ | $U_{13}$ | $U_{23}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $U_{11}$ | 0.0294 | 0.0334 | 0.0012 | 0.0009 | -0.0011 |
| P | 0.0396 | 0.0344 | 0.0516 | -0.0014 | -0.0007 | -0.0117 |
| N | 0.0521 | 0.0344 | 0.0103 | 0.0044 | 0.0098 |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 0.0525 | 0.0435 | 0.0353 | -0.0093 | 0.0013 | -0.0129 |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 0.0521 | 0.0272 | 0.0688 | -0.038 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 0.0527 | 0.0498 | 0.0384 | 0.0304 | 0.0021 | -0.0110 |

Table 4. Standard deviations in thermal parameters, $\sigma\left(U_{i j}\right)$

|  | $\sigma\left(U_{11}\right)$ | $\sigma\left(U_{22}\right)$ | $\sigma\left(U_{33}\right)$ | $\sigma\left(U_{12}\right)$ | $\sigma\left(U_{13}\right)$ | $\sigma\left(U_{23}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{P}$ | 0.0009 | 0.0008 | 0.0009 | 0.0012 | 0.0011 | 0.0011 |
| N | 0.0032 | 0.0024 | 0.0035 | 0.0045 | 0.0049 | 0.0049 |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | 0.0025 | 0.0026 | 0.0020 | 0.0045 | 0.0036 | 0.0038 |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | 0.0028 | 0.0021 | 0.0034 | 0.0042 | 0.0041 | 0.0041 |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | 0.0023 | 0.0026 | 0.0022 | 0.0045 | 0.0039 | 0.0038 |

Table 5. Bond lengths and angles

|  | $l$ | $\sigma(l)$ |  | $\varphi$ | $\sigma(p)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{PO}(1)$ | $1.572 \AA$ | $0.005 \AA$ | $\mathrm{PPO}(1)$ | $106 \cdot 7^{\circ}$ | 0.3 |
| $\mathrm{PO}(2)$ | 1.501 | 0.005 | $\mathrm{PPO}(2)$ | 106.8 | 0.3 |
| $\mathrm{PO}(3)$ | 1.503 | 0.005 | $\mathrm{PPO}(3)$ | $106 \cdot 6$ | 0.3 |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{P}$ | 2.170 | 0.003 | $\mathrm{O}(1) \mathrm{PO}(2)$ | 113.3 | 0.4 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{O}(2) \mathrm{PO}(3)$ | 116.7 | 0.4 |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{O}(3) \mathrm{PO}(1)$ | 106.2 | 0.4 |

Table 6. Bond lengths in phosphates

| $\quad$ Compound | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{OH}$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}$ | Reference |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{6}$ | 1.57 | 1.50 | This work |
| $\mathrm{KH}_{2} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | 1.58 | 1.51 | Bacon \& Pease (1955) |
| $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$ | 1.57 | 1.52 | Furberg (1955) |


$\begin{array}{lllll} & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4\end{array}$
Fig. 2. The crystal structure projected down the $b$ axis. The hydrogen bonds are shown by broken lines.
on hydrogen bonds, it is possible to draw a firm inference that the contact of length $3 \cdot 20 \AA$ is in fact the fourth hydrogen bond: the six angles at the nitrogen atom are then $109,111,102,93,122$ and $121^{\circ}$. The contacts of length $3 \cdot 14$ and $3 \cdot 18 \AA$ make angles with the three clearly identified hydrogen bonds of $146,72,102^{\circ}$ and $73,66,168^{\circ}$ respectively: the extremes of these are not considered acceptable. The value $3 \cdot 20 \AA$ is very large for an $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{O}$ bond but larger values have been reported (e.g. Yakel \& Hughes, 1954; Chesnut \& Marsh, 1958).

The $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdot \mathrm{O}$ o bonds form a three-dimensional framework; two of them reinforce the ladder-like arrangement of the hypophosphate ions while the other two link one of these columns to two adjacent ones. The projection of the structure down the $b$ axis is shown in Fig. 2.

We are very grateful to Dr M. R. Truter of the University of Leeds who supervised the three-dimensional refinement and supplied much valuable advice.
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